

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,394	04/07/2006	John A. Landis	10/888,000/TN333C	8982
27276 7590 11/24/2008 UNISYS CORPORATION			EXAM	UNER
UNISYS WAY			ALSIP, MICHAEL	
MAIL STATION: E8-114 BLUE BELL, PA 19424			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2186	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/575,394 LANDIS, JOHN A. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL ALSIP 2186 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 April 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/2006

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

 Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 29-31, 33, 48, 49, 72, 73 and 75 of copending Application No. 10575632.

Pending Application: 10575394 Claims 1 and 4: A method and resource management system for a host computer, comprising: a resource database for storing indices to system resources of said host computer; a resource management software application that assigns system resources of said host computer and maintains a memory allocation page map of said resource database including indices to the assigned system resources in said resource database, wherein said memory allocation page map is organized according to a tiered page size model including a hierarchy of scales using

Co-pending Application: 10575632 Claims 1 and 48: A method and virtualization system for a host computer having at least one host processor and system resources including memory divided into most privileged system memory and less privileged user memory, the system comprising: virtualization software that operates in said less privileged user memory and divides said host computer into a plurality of virtual partitions including at least one user guest partition and at least one system partition, said at least one user quest partition providing a virtualization environment for at least one quest operating system, and said at least

Application/Control Number: 10/575,394 Art Unit: 2186

2^x as a scaling factor whereby an

index page at each tiered page size level may allocate 2^x memory blocks at a size of the next lower tiered page size level.

one system partition maintaining a resource database for use in managing use of said at least one host processor and said system resources; at least one monitor that operates in said most privileged system memory and maintains quest applications in said at least one guest partition within memory space allocated by said at least one system partition to said at least one quest partition: and a context switch between said at least one monitor and said respective guest and system partitions for controlling multitask processing of software in said partitions on said at least one host processor.

Claims 2 and 49: wherein said at least one system partition includes a resource management software application that assigns system resources to respective system and guest partitions and provides an index to the assigned

Art Unit: 2186

system resource in said resource database.

Claim 29: wherein said system resources include physical memory of said host computer.

Claims 30 and 72: wherein a memory allocation page map of said resource database is organized according to a tiered page size model including a hierarchy of scales using 2x as a scaling factor whereby an index page at each tiered page size level may allocate 2x memory blocks at a size of the next lower tiered page size level.

Claims 2 and 5: wherein said resource management software application stores a descriptor for each assigned system resource at an index [G,M,K] in said memory as Mem(G,M,K) = ((G*2^10+M)*2^10+K)*2^10*(word size), where word size is a power of 2.

resource management software application allocates memory to said respective system and guest partitions by storing a partition descriptor for a desired partition number [G,M,K] in said memory as Mem(G,M,K) = ((G*2^10+M)*2^10+K)*2^10*(word size),

Claims 31 and 73: wherein said

Art Unit: 2186

	where word size is a power of 2.		
Claims 3 and 6: wherein x=10 and	Claims 33 and 75: wherein x=10 and		
wherein a descriptor is stored in said	wherein a virtual partition number is		
memory allocation page map as a 32 bit	represented in said memory allocation		
index (2, 10, 10, 10) into a map of 4k	page map as a 32 bit index (2, 10, 10,		
pages that identifies the descriptor with	10) into a map of 4k pages that		
said index, where a first bit indicates	identifies the virtual partition descriptor		
sub-allocation in smaller pages and	for the virtual partition with said virtual		
three successive 2^10 values identify	partition number, where a first bit		
scaled pages.	indicates sub-allocation in smaller		
	pages and three successive 2^10		
	values identify scaled pages.		

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the co-pending application anticipates the pending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2186

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 5. Consider **claims 1 and 4**, the x in the term "2^x" does not have its bounds disclosed, in other words 'x' can be 0, 10, 1000, -1, .5 or any other value because there are no bounds, appropriate correction is required. The examiner is considering the term 'x' to be any value zero or greater for purposes of examination.
- 6. Consider **claims 2** and 5, the claim language does not disclose what the variables G, M and K are defined to be or represent, further clarification is required. Also these variables have no defined bounds, in other words 'G, M and K' can be 0, 10, 1000, -1, .5 or any other value, appropriate correction is required. The examiner is considering these variables to just be any value zero or greater for purposes of examination.

Consider claims 3 and 6, the claim language states a descriptor is stored in said memory allocation page map as a 32 bit index (2,10,10,10), however storage of a descriptor as claimed in claims 2 and 5, from which these claims depend, stores descriptors with an index of 3 variables, therefore these claims are inconsistent with each other. Are the 3 of the 4 variables claimed the G, M and K variables of claims 2 and 5 or some other variables and if they are which of the 4 are they? Which and what is the fourth variable? How is the storage location determined using 4 variables, the formula disclosed in claims 2 and 5 only include the G, M and K variables, what is the relevance of the fourth variable? The claim further states "a first bit indicates sub-

Art Unit: 2186

allocation", which bit is this limitation referring too? Are the entries (2, 10, 10, 10) each considered a bit or is it the first bit of the 4k page? A sub-allocation of what in the smaller pages? The claim further states: "three successive 2^10 values identify scaled pages", what three successive 2^10 values? The examiner does not see in the claim language three successive 2^10 values. Because the examiner is unable to determine the intended scope of claims 3 and 6, it is improper for the examiner to rely on speculative assumptions regarding the meaning of the claims and thus it would also be improper to reject the claims using prior art (*In re Steele*, 305 F.2d 859,134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962)). Therefore, no prior art considerations have been given to the present claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kjos et al. (US 2004/0064668 A1).
- Consider claims 1 and 4, Kjos et al. disclose and method and resource
 management system for a host computer, comprising (abstract): a resource database
 for storing indices to system resources of said host computer (pg. 1 ¶ [0006], pg. 6 ¶

Art Unit: 2186

[0056]-[0059], pg. 7 ¶ [0062]); a resource management software application that assigns system resources of said host computer and maintains a memory allocation page map of said resource database including indices to the assigned system resources in said resource database (pg. 1 ¶ [0006], pg. 3 ¶ [0038], pg. 6 ¶ [0056]-[0059], pg. 7 ¶ [0062]), wherein said memory allocation page map is organized according to a tiered page size model including a hierarchy of scales using 2^x as a scaling factor whereby an index page at each tiered page size level may allocate 2^x memory blocks at a size of the next lower tiered page size level (pg. 1 ¶ [0006], pg. 3 ¶ [0038], pg. 6 ¶ [0056]-[0059], pg. 7 ¶ [0062], where x can be 0 therefore making the scale 1).

10. Consider **claims 2** and **5**, as applied to **claim 1** and **4**, Kjos et al. disclose wherein said resource management software application allocates memory to said respective system and guest partitions by storing a partition descriptor for a desired partition number [G,M,K] in said memory as Mem(G,M,K) =
((G*2^10+M)*2^10+K)*2^10*(word size), where word size is a power of 2 (pg. 1 ¶ 100061, pg. 3 ¶ 100381, pg. 6 ¶ 100561-100591, pg. 7 ¶ 100621).

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. These references are cited in the attached PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL ALSIP whose telephone number is (571)270-

Art Unit: 2186

1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matt Kim/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2186 Michael Alsip Examiner Art Unit 2186

/Michael Alsip/ Examiner, Art Unit 2186

November 19, 2008